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Abstract: Malaysia's achievements in the TIMSS (Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study) and PISA (Program International Student Assessment) from 2007 to 2015 show a decline in the mastery of science and mathematics subjects among Malaysian students internationally (Shahril Sabudin, Azlin Norhaini Mansor, Sabahan Mohd Meerah & Aziza Muhammad, 2018) [28]. Meanwhile, the science laboratory learning environment is found that can influence the student’s higher order thinking skills (HOTS). This finding is supported by Mubarak, Suprapto and Adam (2018)[21] who found that the investigative activities in the laboratory would influence the HOTS. However, there are still have no any studies that been found in Malaysia on the relationship between the science laboratory learning environment and the HOTS. Therefore, this study aims to know the relationship between of the learning environment and the HOTS among secondary school students in Kuala Nerus, Terengganu by using the quantitative method. There are two sections in the questionnaire form, which are part A regarding the science laboratory learning environment and part B on the student’s HOTS. The part A uses SLEI which was developed by Fraser et al. (1992) and the part B uses a set of subjective questions that was developed by the researchers. The researchers selected 89 students from two schools using a simple random sampling. The findings showed that there were a relationship between of the learning environment in the material environment and the student’s HOTS. The results of the study proved that the adequacy of materials and apparatus in science labs would enhance the student’s HOTS. The implications of this study enable the Ministry of Education Malaysia (MOE) to budget for the purchase of sufficient science materials and apparatus.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The education system in Malaysia is changing in line with the current developments to produce people who are well-balanced and able to compete internationally (Saipolbarin Ramli, Muhammad Taufig Abdul Ghani, Nazri Atoh & Taj Rijal Muhammad Romli, 2019) [27]. This has led the government to revise the country's education policy and formulate the long term plan of the Malaysian Education Development Plan (2013 - 2025) with a focus on the world-class quality education, where the main focus in the PPPM is to "provide equitable access of the quality education international standards" (Mazlini Adnan, Aminah Ayob, Tek, Mohd Nasir Ibrahim, Noriah Ishak & Jameyah Sheriff, 2016) [20]. In order to provide our students competing internationally, the Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) should be given a priority (Nur Hawa Hanis Abdullah & Ghazali Darusalam, 2018) [23]. The international benchmarks of TIMSS and PISA will be used to assess the performance of Malaysian students (KPM, 2012) [13]. However, the Malaysia's low rankings in the test proved that the Malaysian students found the difficulties to apply HOTS (KPM, 2012) [13]. This is because the vision of the MOE for the target of 2025 is the Malaysia’s position at the one third of the best world ranking in TIMMS and PISA. Therefore, the Ministry of Education Malaysia (MOE) has introduced the 21st Century Learning (21CL), “Pembelajaran Abad ke 21, PAK21” which emphasizing the HOTS in teaching and learning in the classroom.

The objective of this study is:
(a) to identify the level of science laboratory learning environment.
(b) to identify the student’s level of higher order thinking skills.
(c) to identify the relationship between the science laboratory learning environment and the higher order thinking skills.

The findings showed that there were a relationship between of the learning environment in the material environment and the student’s HOTS. The level of science laboratory learning environment dimension showed at the high levels which were the rule clarity (mean = 3.84) and the student’s cohesiveness (mean = 3.63). Meanwhile, the other three dimensions were at the medium level; material environment (mean = 3.11), open-endedness (mean = 2.91) and integration (mean = 2.79). Further more, the results of the HOTS dimension level showed that the analysing dimension (mean = 1.67) was at the medium level. While, the other three dimensions were at the lower levels; creating (mean = 1.35), applying (mean = 1.05) and evaluating (mean = 1.05).
II. BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

The 21st Century Learning (21CL) is a student-centred learning process based on the elements of communication, collaboration, critical thinking and creativity and the application of pure and ethical values (Wayan Redhana, 2019) [20]. In addition, 21CL means that the teachers use the student-centred learning methods and emphasize the elements of building HOTS within the students (Khair Mohamad Yusof, in KPM 2016) [16].

In the HOTS learning environment, teaching and learning process will change from the teacher-centred to the student-centred. The student-centred learning can stimulate student’s thinking through the activities such as exploration, research and project-based learning where the teachers play a role as facilitators (KPM, 2014) [14]. This finding is supported by Mubarok, Suprapto and Adam (2018) [21] who found that the investigative activities in the laboratory would influence the HOTS. This is because the active learning already happened in the laboratory while the students were exploring (Nur Liyana Ali, Ta, Sharifah Zarina Syed Zakaria, Mazlin Mokhtar & Sharina Abdul Halim, 2014) [24].

However, Malaysia's achievements in the TIMSS (Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study) and PISA (Program International Student Assessment) from 2007 to 2015 showed a decline in the mastery of science and mathematics subjects among Malaysian students internationally (Shahril Sabudin, Azlin Norhaini Mansor, Subahan Mohd. Meerah & Azliza Muhammad, 2018) [28].

Drawing on the issue of the weakening of the HOTS among the Malaysian students, the elements of the science laboratory learning environment were chosen as the variable in this study. Studies abroad have found that the science laboratory learning environment will influence the HOTS students' (Akani, 2015; Hofstein, Dkeidek, Katchevitch, Nahum, Kipnis, Navon, Shore, Taitelbaum & Mamlok-Naaman, 2019; Madhuri, Kantamreddi & Goteti, 2012) [1][12][19].

However, the study by Arni Yuzie Mohd Arshad and Ruhizan Mohd Yasin (2015) [3] found that students' level of thinking skills were modest and still in the cognitive domain of the app. Overall, the majority of students in the cognitive domain of the application were 61%, the analysis was 33%, the assessment was 26% and the design was 20%.

III. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The research questions of the study are

1. What is the level of the dimension of science laboratory learning environment in the secondary school?
2. What is the level of the dimension of higher order thinking skill (HOTS) among the secondary school students?
3. Is there a relationship between the dimension of science laboratory learning environment and the dimension of the higher order thinking skills (HOTS) among the secondary school students?

IV. METHOD

A. Research Design

In generally, this study was correlated using a cross-sectional approach in the quantitative data collection process through respondents. A set of questionnaires was used to collect data to identify the direction and influence of the study constructs (Creswell, 2014; Bakker, 2018) [10]. The questionnaire consisted of two sections; Part A is the science laboratory learning environment and Part B is the higher order thinking skills (HOTS).

B. Sampling Design

The population of respondents was from all the form two students in the district of Kuala Nerus, Terengganu. Two secondary schools were selected by a simple random sampling. This sampling was chosen because it was suitable to use when the population almost had a uniform characteristics. This sampling was in line with the two following assumptions; (1) every member of the population has the same opportunity to choose, (2) the choice of one subject is independent and independent of the other (Azizi, Shahrin, Jamaludin, Yusof & Abd.Rahim, 2007) [5].
C. Instrument

The instrument was used in this study adapted and modified by Che Nidzam Che Ahmad (2011) [6] from the Science Laboratory Environment Inventory (SLEI) which was developed by Fraser et al. (1992). The original version of the SLEI had 35 items, presented in five different scales; student’s cohesiveness, open-endedness, integration, rule clarity and material environment. Each scale in SLEI has seven items.

According to a study by Stanger-Hall (2012) [29] who found that the structured questions was better in promoting the higher order thinking skills among students. Therefore, a set of structured question instruments were developed by the researchers. The questions were adapted and modified from Form Three Assessment, “Pentaksiran Tingkatan 3, PT3” questions of 2016 and 2017 [KPM, 2018] [17] and were based on Bloom’s Taxonomy (2001). The construct verifications in the assessment were carried out by two experienced and specialised teachers from AKRAM (Angkatan Kerja Rajin dan Mulia) Terengganu. Subsequently, alpha cronbach tests were performed to determine the reliability of the instruments. There are ten structured questions, which include the subscales of applying, analysing, evaluating and creating.

D. Alpha Cronbach

The integration dimension had a good reliability value of over 0.8, while the other four dimensions had a sufficient reliability values for the measurement above 0.7 (Ary et al., 2002; Nunnally, 1978; Coakes, Steed & Ong 2009) [4][22]. However, some researchers recommended an alpha coefficient of 0.6 and above, especially for the preliminary studies (Fauzi Hussin, Jamal Ali & Mohd Saifoul Zanmzuri Noor, 2014) [11].

E. Data Collection

Prior to initiate the data collection process, the researchers firstly applied the permission from the Education Planning and Research Division (EPRD) (MOE) before starting the study. After obtaining the permission from Terengganu State Education Department and then from the school’s principal, the researchers went to the involved schools for distributing the questionnaire to the selected respondents.

F. Data Analysis

The Table 3 above shows the types of analysis that will be used in this study.

The research method involves in this study are descriptive and inference data analysis to answering research questions related to the current state of the science laboratory learning environment and higher order thinking skills among form two student in Kuala Nerus, Terengganu.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Research Questions</th>
<th>Type Of Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>What is the level of the dimension of science laboratory learning environment in the secondary school?</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>What is the level of the dimension of higher order thinking skill (HOTS) among the secondary school students?</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Is there a relationship between the dimension of science laboratory learning environment and the dimension of the higher order thinking skills (HOTS) among the secondary school students?</td>
<td>Spearman’s Correlation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Table 4 above shows the mean score and level for each dimension.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean Score</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.34 – 5.00</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.67 – 3.33</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 – 1.66</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Neither Agree or Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V. RESULT

Research questions 1: What is the level of the dimension of science laboratory learning environment in the secondary school?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension SLEI</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student’s cohesiveness</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-endedness</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule clarity</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material environment</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the study showed that the analysing dimension (mean = 1.67) is at the medium level. Meanwhile, the other three dimensions are at the lower levels; creating (mean = 1.28), applying (mean = 1.05) and evaluating (mean = 1.05).

Research questions 2: What is the level of the dimension of higher order thinking skill (HOTS) among the secondary school students?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SLEI</th>
<th>Applying</th>
<th>Analysing</th>
<th>Evaluating</th>
<th>Creating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student’s cohesiveness</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>.257</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-endedness</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule clarity</td>
<td>.080</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.106</td>
<td>.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material environment</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.234</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant level at 0.05
** Significant level at 0.01

There is a significant relationship between the dimensions of material environment and all the HOTS dimensions. Meanwhile, there is also a significant relationship between the dimensions of student’s cohesiveness and the analysis dimension of HOTS.

VI. DISCUSSION

The mean analysis found that the level of learning environment was moderate (mean = 3.25) however Higher Order Thinking Skills were low (mean = 1.28). It was also found that the dimensions of rule clarity (mean = 3.84) and student’s cohesiveness (mean = 3.63) were high. Meanwhile, the dimensions of the material environment (mean = 3.11), open-endedness (mean = 2.91) and integration (mean = 2.79) were moderate. This data was supported by Pyatt and Sims (2007) [25] that noted in most of the science activities, the students were not given the opportunity to explore and create their own understanding about the phenomenon of their learning. Che Nidzam Che Ahmad, Kamisah Osman and Lilia Halim (2010) [6] suggested that the teachers could not delivered the content of the lesson alone without giving attention to the psychosocial aspects such as providing sufficient teaching materials for students to carry out investigation and giving an emphasize activities to integrate the theory learning in the classroom with the hands-on activities in the laboratory. The teachers should give the students an opportunity to generate ideas and design their own experiments.

The mean analysis for higher order thinking skills level was low. But, the analysing dimension was the highest mean (mean = 1.67), followed by creating (mean = 1.35) and finally applying and evaluating which were at the same mean of 1.05. This finding was in line with the studies by Tee Tze Kiong, Jailani Md Yunus, Razali Hassan, Yee Mei Heong,
Atan Hj. Hussein, and Mimi Mohaffyza Mohamad (2012) [18] on 384 of form one students of this country who found that their level of higher order thinking skills were very low. There was a significant relationship between the learning environment for the material environment dimension and the higher order thinking skills. This was in line with the findings of Akinbobola and Olufunmimiyi (2015) [2] who found that the adequate material environment would enhance the critical skills and creativity of the students. There was also a relationship between the dimensions of student’s cohesiveness and the analysis dimension of HOTS. However, the findings of Ramnarain and Hlatswayo’s (2018) [26] studies on the teachers in Mpumalanga, South Africa confirmed that the laboratory facilities, the teaching materials and the time to solve the curriculum which were inadequate, as well as many students in the classroom were hindering them to teach.

VI. CONCLUSION

Overall, the results showed that the science laboratory learning environment was correlated with the higher order thinking skills of the secondary school students in Kuala Nerus, Terengganu. The material environment played an important role in enhancing student’s critical skills and creativity. The adequate laboratory materials and apparatus would stimulate the student’s skills, which would encourage them to innovate and creative in the science laboratory learning environment.

The teachers as a facilitators also should increase the activity in the science laboratory. The science laboratory learning environment had been proven to enhance the HOTS through the experimental activities. This finding was supported by Mubarok, Suprapto and Adam (2018) [21] who found that the investigative activities in the laboratory would influence the HOTS. Therefore, the government through the MOE must always ensure an adequate provision for the purchase of materials and laboratory apparatus in schools whether in the urban or the rural.
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