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Abstract: English language teaching is a significant part of schools’ curriculum in native and non-native English speaking countries in the world. This study aims to present a review on the similarities and differences of ELTP (English Language Teaching Practice) at primary school level in three Asian countries: Afghanistan, Malaysia and Saudi Arabia. The paper discusses some of the similarities and differences of ELT practice at primary school level in these three Asian countries, based on teaching English as a foreign or second language ESL/EFL, when English as a subject is introduced in school, Policy towards English, religious and cultural perceptions towards English, methods of instruction, teaching aids and teaching materials, and challenges in ELT. The discussion shows that there are quite a few similarities between Afghanistan and Saudi Arabia regarding ELT practice at primary school level while in the case of Malaysia, ELT is more progressive and up to date in terms of policy and implementation. The paper also highlights some of the challenges faced by teachers in the three countries.
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I. INTRODUCTION

ELTP (English Language Teaching Practice) engages numerous language educators in native and non-native speaking countries to announce how they teach English as EFL/ESL for learners. Although English language is practiced in three different circles such as inner circle (using English as first language), outer circle (using English as a second language) and expanding circle (using English as a foreign language), there are some similarities and differences regarding ELTP at primary, secondary and tertiary levels in native and non-native speaking countries [1]. While there are many studies on ELTP in Asian countries, but they do not look at how the practices are similar or different. Thus, this study aims to discuss of the similarities and differences of English language teaching practice (ELTP) at primary school level in the context of three countries, namely, Afghanistan, Malaysia and Saudi Arabia.

English language teaching was initially introduced to the education system of Afghanistan in the late 1930 when it was only taught at secondary and tertiary level; however, after 2001 the language was introduced to the primary school curriculum [2]. Nevertheless, Malaysia has been experiencing English language teaching practice since 1957 and the language was formally issued on behalf of National Education Policy in 1970 [3], [4]. In the case of Saudi Arabia, the literature [5], [6] shows that English language has not been practiced at primary school level until 2004, while in 2011 it was introduced as a compulsory subject for years 1 to 6. It is worth mentioning that the status of the English language is that of a Second Language (ESL) in Malaysia and Saudi Arabia, and is taught as a foreign language (TEFL) in Afghanistan. The purpose of this paper is to discuss some of the similarities and differences of ELTP at primary school level in Afghanistan, Malaysia and Saudi Arabia based on aspects such as the status of English Language (i.e. ESL, EFL), policy, religious and cultural perspectives, teaching and learning methods and materials as some of the major challenges faced by teachers in ELTP in the selected Asian countries. The paper extends upon research on ELT practices to provide EL practitioners with a better understanding of some of the issues and concerns of teachers and students of English Language in these Asian countries.

II. BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING

[7] explained that English language is highly appreciated and used as an interactive tool among nonnative speakers in the countries which belong to the expanding circle of Kachru’s model., English Language has been introduced to Asian countries through two factors namely the immigration of many people from south and eastern England to America and Australia and colonization of Asia and Africa by the British Empire [7]. In Afghanistan, Malaysia and Saudi Arabia the English Language status is that as ESL or EFL for more than half a century [2], [6], [4].

[8] explicated that several Asian countries accepted English as a subject into their school curriculum because they believe the language is an effective contributor to the pupils’ personal, linguistic, social and cultural improvement.
Based on Kachru’s circle, Afghanistan comes into the expanding circle where English is taught and utilized as a foreign language (EFL) in various settings namely primary schools, secondary schools and universities [9]. [4] indicated that in Malaysian primary school English language is taught as a second language in all government supported schools. [10] argue that globalization and modernization are the two main reasons for the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia KSA to introduce the teaching and learning of English into its education system as a Second Language. Therefore, Malaysia and Saudi Arabia come in the outer circle of Kachru ‘s division

[12] states that as the ministry of education (MoE) in Afghanistan felt the importance of English language teaching in terms of understanding science and technology, they gave more priority to the teaching of English at primary and secondary levels. Nowadays English language is taught as a core subject in primary, secondary and tertiary levels in Afghanistan. In the case of Malaysia, [13] explained that the New Education Policy (NEP) introduced in 1970 that was based on the existence of a pluralistic society and the economic competition of Malaysia, had led to the nationalization of the English language [4, p. 483] indicated that “English is important in the country’s quest for economic development as the global nature of the world has now made it the language medium for business, technology and knowledge”. Similarly, Saudi Arabia, its educational policy declares that Arab students must understand and be educated at least one overseas language to which help them to communicate people from other cultures for the aim of deliver the message of Islam and provide humanitarian aids [14].

III. ENGLISH AS EFL/ ESL

Based on Kachru’s (1982) circle, English language is viewed from three different angles such as inner circle, outer circle and expanding circle throughout the world. Since this study focuses on some Asian countries exclusively, English language is fitted into outer and expanding circles at primary schools in aforementioned countries. Furthermore, the country Afghanistan comes into the expanding circle where English is taught and utilized as a foreign language (EFL) in various settings namely primary schools, secondary schools and universities [9]. Conversely, [4] study stated that it is revealed from the curriculum of English language at primary school level that English is taught as second language in all public schools of Malaysia. Similarly, [15, p. 106] revealed that “Malaysia has accorded English as a second language status as stated in Article 152 and given due attention”. Besides, observing English as a foreign language in Afghanistan, one of the major objectives of ministry of education is to provide students to be able to read some English books with their original text [16].

Similarly, English language in Saudi Arabia also has a historical importance. [17] stated that looking at history of English that was introduced for the first time in Saudi Arabia in the late 1920s, it was the time that language had little importance and faced with series resistance by society. Moreover, [18] adds that the time in which Saudi Arabia was one of the poorest countries, the development of English language teaching (ELT) was not so fast. English became part of the Saudi formal educational system to quicken the country’s incorporation with other world. English is taught as a foreign language in Saudi Arabia schools and other educational institutions [19]. [11] explain that Saudi government passed a considerable amount of fundamental legislation in terms of EFL teaching. To meet the requirements of the twentieth century, to keep up-to-date with the education system and be connected to western education, Saudi Arabia found the Scholarship Preparation School (SPS) in 1936 in Makkah where English language teaching was introduced for the first time [11].

IV. START OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE AS A SUBJECT AT SCHOOL

English language was introduced at primary school level either as a foreign or second language at different stages of primary school education in these Asian countries. Although, from 1996 to 2001 during the Taliban regime, English language was not part of the education system in Afghanistan, later it was included in the school curriculum to be taught for six years from year 7 to year 12 [20]. He argues that English became one of the compulsory subjects at primary schools where kids must start it in year 4 and continue until year 12. Conversely, in the case of madrasah (religious school) in Afghanistan English is to taught in year 8, and in most madrasahs, the language is not taught at all [16].

This indicates that Afghan pupils are involved in studying English language for nine years in government supported schools. Similarly, in Saudi Arabia EFL was taught in different grades of public elementary schools. [11] explains that in 1943, Saudi government decided to introduce teaching of English beginning from year 7 until year 9 of the intermediate stage. Moreover, [18] adds that in the public schools, English was taught in year 7 in 2003. Then it was taught in year 5 and 6 and, last of all it was taught from year 4 at primary schools in 2012. [21] states that in the private schools, English has been taught as a subject from year 1 since 1970s.

On the other hand, in the case of Malaysia, [15] explicated that English was introduced as a second language to children from the very early age even when kids are in kindergarten. They also explain further that Malaysian pupils aged four or five years are introduced to the English Language in the classroom [15, p. 106] stated that “They would then continue to learn English until they reach Form Five (17 years old)”. 

V. POLICY PERSPECTIVES

English as an international language depends on the needs of the nations. For the Asian countries, this is dependent on their needs in terms of communication, education and utilization of the language. In Afghanistan, the ministry of education felt a huge need for designing an appropriate language planning and educational policy-making to advocate English as a Foreign Language and facilitate equal chances for all primary school learners to acquire the language [9].

She added that applying the policies demanding English as the medium of instruction equipped with adequate conditions and sufficient training, tools and English materials.
In Malaysia [21] explained that policy makers perceived English as a second language in their educational policies. In other words, the language is perceived officially in law, education, governmental offices, business and media in all over Malaysia. In addition, [22] stated that the new curriculum designed for primary schools highlights active learning, social and cultural values through language learning as well as it focuses on realizing the role of English not only inside the school but also in the outside world.

For Saudi Arabia, [23] stated that in order to have successful EFL program in early grades of the elementary level in Saudi Arabia, it should be taken into account carefully that the following policies are given attention: curriculum policy, personal policy, material policy, society policy and evaluation policy. Furthermore, [24] stated that it is suitable to claim that in Saudi Arabia, English language is perceived by policy-makers, stakeholders, and other decision-making bodies as an important tool for the development of the country in terms of both international relations and scientific-technological advancement. He added that in Saudi Arabia, English as the primary foreign language has the official status and the country now advocates more interest in English language program.

VI. RELIGIOUS AND CULTURAL PERCEPTIONS TOWARDS ENGLISH

As language, culture and religion have a strong and remarkable relationship between each other, consequently people have different beliefs regarding English language particularly in Islamic countries. To support this, [25] argue that good EFL textbooks present learners scale of learning, including not only language but also culture. They also claim that instruction can be mostly persuasive with youngsters who internalize the concepts delivered to them in their textbooks as outright fact. In the Afghanistan context, [26, p. 3] believes that “They love their religion and traditions more than anything”. Faig also asserts that Afghan people need an English language curriculum founded on the philosophy of social reconstruction, religion observance and worldwide communication. Moreover, in Afghanistan mainly in rural areas, people believe that educating pupils via English language particularly children at primary school level means educating them with western culture [9].

Similarly, someone can find the same perception about educating English to school learners in Malaysia. [27] explicated that it was feared that schools which were built by the British in urban centers during their rule over Malaysia would entice Malaysians, specifically the majority Muslim Malays and their kids to convert to Christianity through the teaching of English language. [27] state that a large number of Malays dislike English schools because Islamic subjects were substituted with English ones. In addition, the new English language curriculum for Malaysian schools also focuses on social and cultural values and requires pupils to relate to the principles in and out of their schools [28], [29].

In Saudi Arabia English language plays a significant role in relation to the religion of Islam. [17] stated that from the religious perspective, English is a significant source through which communication takes place with 11 million pilgrims visiting every year to Mecca and Medina which are the holy places for Muslims. Moreover, English is considered a religious obligatory which plays a significant role in teaching Islamic values to non-Arabic speakers. Thus English can be used for the spreading of Islam [30]. Besides, Saudi Arabian people use English to communicate with people of different cultures and are able to disseminate the principles of Islam and translate for English speakers, Islamic knowledge. Thus English Language is seen as a medium for sharing knowledge about Islam which can help to eliminate the misconceptions about Islam in the West.

VII. METHODS OF INSTRUCTION

Two writers of this article are from Afghanistan and they are English language lecturers so that they have good enough experiences about EFL. Here, the writers are keenly interested to share their own teaching experiences about method used for teaching EFL. Although, new methods and approaches have emerged and used in different Asian countries to teach English in primary schools, Afghanistan teachers still use the audio-lingual and grammar translation methods, mainly in primary and secondary schools. For instance, rote memorization and word for word translation are the two most dominant activities that primary school teachers follow to teach English for their pupils. [31] indicated that “While CLT has been in existence since 1970s, in Afghanistan as [32, p. 1] asserts Grammar-Translation Method and Audio-lingual Method have been dominant for many years and have negatively affected the communicative abilities of students”.

Furthermore, in the case of Malaysia, [13] stated that three types of instructional methods were implemented in the very beginning, namely the grammar translation method, direct method and situational approach.

Additionally, currently there is no single method to be used at primary schools to educate English language. Although, CLT (Communicative Language Teaching) is the latest method of teaching English in the country, [33, p. 200] suggested that “The approach to teaching English in Malaysian schools is eclectic in which teachers may employ any method that gives due importance to both language learning and acquisition”. In other words, Malaysian primary school teachers use any method that best provides pupils with communicative proficiency.

Likewise, English teaching method in Afghanistan, Saudi Arabia uses the grammar translation in schools to teaching English. [34] stated that the teaching method of Saudi Arabia is based on the traditional grammar translation method teaching that follow learning grammatical rules then applying them by translating sentences from L2 to L1. [35] finding maintain that existing traditional methods used to teach English in Saudi Arabia mostly follow audio-lingual and grammar-translation methods. In addition, selecting the traditional grammar translation method of teaching, the public schools of Saudi Arabia cannot employ contemporary techniques such as pair work, group work, role playing and interviews.
These techniques encourage students who are shy and do not participate in discussions with classmates. [36].

VIII. TEACHER-CENTERED/STUDENT-CENTERED LEARNING

In the case of Malaysia, the ministry of education (MoE) developed a new curriculum known KSSR (Kurikulum Standard Sekolah Rendah) means primary school standard based curriculum which is based on student-centered learning and integrate language skills, approaches, standards, values and principles to teaching English for pupils [37, p. 198]. suggested that “In KSSR English pupils become more appreciative of their own efforts and will memorize things that they have worked on better”. Similarly, [37] asserts that Malaysian students learn English language in an interactive way such as interaction between student and teacher, student and student, student and peer.

On the other hand, in Afghanistan, to most extent English language is taught through teacher centered methods, particularly in the case of primary schools. In other words, the teachers are the dominant figures in the classroom while pupils remain as a passive learner during the English sessions. [2] reveals that in Afghanistan, at all educational institutions, English language teaching practice is conducted based on grammar and Audio-lingual methods with teacher-centeredness. Therefore, practicing such approaches makes it difficult for Afghan learners to improve in effective communication skills.

Equally to Afghanistan and differentially to Malaysia, in Saudi Arabia, teachers use traditional methods, namely teacher-centered method. [34] stated that in Saudi Arabia’s public schools, English is not taught as means of communication. Instead it is taught as a subject that makes the lessons teacher-centered. [38] remarks that teaching and learning of EFL in Saudi classrooms is largely dominated by the teachers. Thus, English teaching is based on teacher-centered learning, and there is little opportunity for students to participate actively. Moreover, there is deficit for a long time at the school level in the Saudi context; that is the English language curricula is not changing, and the traditional teacher-centered teaching as well as passive learning are used [39].

IX. TEACHING AIDS AND INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS

In terms of quality and quantity in instructional materials for teaching English as an EFL/ESL, this varies from country to country. In Afghanistan, both in primary and secondary schools, English language instructors use only the textbooks that are supplied by the ministry of education. However, there does not include any supplementary materials which assist pupils in learning the language effectively. [40] states that Afghan primary and secondary school pupils are required to learn by rote the content of their English textbook and recall when they are asked. Another account for this issue is provided by [41] who stated that primary school level is divided into two parts; primary level and secondary level therefore, the year 4 is the first grade of secondary level of primary school, where pupils are called for to read the dense texts provided in the textbook and understand it. On the other hand, in the Malaysian context, English language teachers not only use the textbook provided by the ministry of education, but also they use journals, work sheets, cassette tapes, videotapes, Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL) programs and games, radio cassette recorder sets and video recorders are placed and managed systematically [42]. Even though, some teachers still use textbook as a crucial material in Malaysian schools, more teachers which have had the training and skills adapt instructional materials based on learners’ cognitive improvement, utilizing diverse reading activities to make the language easier to learn [42], [4].

In contrast to Malaysia, in the Saudi context, English language teachers only use textbooks distributed by the ministry of education. [43] stated that the factor which affects negatively the process of teaching and learning English in Saudi Arabia is the selection of inadequate and inappropriate teaching resources. In addition, important teaching resources are not provided in schools as well as there is unavailability of language computer laboratory. Furthermore, the lack of adequate teaching resources results in (a) Saudi English teachers not taking into account the use of teaching aids in the classroom; (b) teachers only depends on textbooks and blackboards during the teaching; (c) teachers often read to their students listening segment in the lesson; (d) some teachers design their own aid materials which are less effective [38].

X. MAJOR CHALLENGES IN ELT PRACTICE

Language teachers and learners around the world experience various challenges, problems and issues when busy with teaching and learning a language program particularly. In other words, teaching English language at primary, secondary and tertiary level challenges both language educators and pupils to face off until overcome the issue. In the case of Afghanistan, lack of time, proficiency in English, lack of computer skills, lack of a suitable teaching method and lack of teaching materials are the dominant challenges recorded by numerous researches [12], [44], [26], [9]. For Malaysian primary school teachers, the aforementioned challenges are not their concerns as their schools on the whole in urban centers have been supported by the government so that students have access to numerous resources. One of the major challenges however, for Malaysian school teachers is the lack of knowledge and training in the use of the new curriculum locally know KSSR (Kurikulum Standard Sekolah Rendah) which requires English language teachers to be well accustomed with its content, objectives and implementation [3]. [3] argued that ministry of education needs to facilitate more trainings through which English language teachers could enhance their knowledge regarding the implementation of KSSR.

Many researches have mentioned the challenges faced by Saudi EFL learners and teachers. According to [45], like other non-English speaking learners, Saudi learners also face many challenges in learning English language. English is introduced at a late stage where students now more about discrimination, and what to choose, like or dislike. Another problem is that teachers are not proficient in English language.
XI. CONCLUSION

To conclude, this paper set out to discuss the similarities and differences of English Language Teaching Practice (ELTB) of Afghanistan, Malaysia and Saudi Arabia. The paper focused on some common aspects namely status of English Language as ESL or EFL, policy, religious and cultural perspectives, teaching and learning methods and materials. The discussion revealed some important differences and similarities of English language teaching practice at primary school level in some of the Asian countries: Afghanistan, Malaysia and Saudi Arabia. English language teaching at primary school level is practiced quite similarly in terms of aforementioned sub-topics in Afghanistan and Saudi Arabia while in the Malaysian context, there are some notable differences and improvements that exist. It is recommended that further empirical research is required to identify how these similarities and differences impacts both educators and pupils in learning English.
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